-	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Sherri R. Carler, Executive Ullicer/Clerk of Court By	
	18 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD, a California State Agency; CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA, a California municipal corporation, incorrectly named as CITY OF BUENAVENTURA, Respondents. CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA, a California municipal corporation, Cross-Complainant v. DUNCAN ABBOTT, an individual, et al. Cross-Defendants.	Trial Date: Not Set	
		Stip. for Stay		

STIPULATION FOR STAY

The parties to this Stipulation (collectively "Stipulating Parties") are all the parties who have appeared in this lawsuit. The Stipulating Parties, through their attorneys, recite and agree as follows:

RECITALS

- 1. In September 2014, Petitioner Santa Barbara Channelkeeper ("Channelkeeper") filed a Complaint and Petition for Declaratory Relief and a Writ of Mandate pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1085 against the City of San Buenaventura ("City") and the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board"). Channelkeeper asked the Court to declare the City's use of Reach 4 of the Ventura River from April through October is unreasonable, in violation of article X, section 2 of the California Constitution, and to direct the State Board to perform alleged mandatory duties under article X, section 2, Water Code section 275, and the public trust doctrine to prevent that unreasonable use.
- 2. In response to Channelkeeper's lawsuit, City filed a Cross-Complaint, and later a First Amended Cross-Complaint, against other surface water and groundwater users who it alleged affect the flow of water in the Ventura River. Pursuant to Channelkeeper's motion, the Court struck City's First Amended Cross-Complaint. City appealed the decision to strike its First Amended Cross-Complaint, and the Court of Appeal reversed the decision in a published decision. (Santa Barbara Channelkeeper v. City of San Buenaventura (2018) 19 Cal.App.5th 1176.)
- 3. Following the appeal, Channelkeeper filed a First Amended Complaint and Petition ("1st Am. Complaint"). State Board's Answer to the original Complaint and Petition was deemed its answer to the 1st Am. Complaint. City filed an Answer and a Second Amended Cross-Complaint ("2nd Am. Cross-Complaint").

82470.00018\31996567.3

- 4. In its 2nd Am. Cross-Complaint, City named approximately one hundred Cross-Defendants who divert water from the Ventura River or pump groundwater from the Upper Ventura River, Ojai Valley, Lower Ventura River, and Upper Ojai Valley Groundwater Basins (collectively "Ventura Groundwater Basins"), which it contends affect the flow of water in the Ventura River. As a water rights adjudication action that includes adjudication of the Ventura Groundwater Basins, the 2nd Am. Cross-Complaint involves, among other things, the Streamlined Groundwater Adjudication Statutes (Civ. Proc. Code, §§ 830-52) and the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act ("SGMA") (Wat. Code, §§ 10750-37.8).
- 5. Most Cross-Defendants have not yet filed responsive pleadings pursuant to an order by the transferor San Francisco Superior Court, dated November 15, 2018, that extended the time for Cross-Defendants to answer the Cross-Complaint until sixty (60) days after receipt of a Court-approved Form Answer. A Form Answer has not yet been approved by the Court.
- 6. City expects additional parties will join the lawsuit as either cross-defendants or intervenors. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 835, 836, subdivision (d), and 836.5, City must provide notice to affected public agencies, California Native American tribes, persons who have a permit or license to store or divert stored water, interested parties who have requested notice from a groundwater management agency, and owners of real property in the Ventura River watershed and Ventura River Groundwater Basins. This last category, in particular, will involve providing notice to hundreds or thousands of additional entities who may become Cross-Defendants. Furthermore, these new parties and named Cross-Defendants may want to sue additional parties. City estimates that it will likely be at least six months before all of these potential additional parties receive notice and appear.
- 7. Channelkeeper, City, State Board, and Cross-Defendants Casitas Municipal Water District, Friend's Ranches, Inc., Krotona Institute of Theosophy, Topa Topa Ranch Company, LLC and The Thacher School, Meiners Oaks Water District and Ventura River Water District, Rancho Matilija Mutual Water Company, Wood-Claeyssens Foundation and Department of Fish and Wildlife (collectively "Mediating Parties"), have begun preliminary settlement discussions.
- 8. The Mediating Parties believe that the proposed temporary stay will save the costs 3 -

and time of engaging in litigation while they are trying to settle the case. At the same time, the matters that are not stayed will assist in ensuring that all of the parties necessary to a settlement will be joined in the lawsuit, the parties have a process to exchange information necessary for settlement discussions, and the case will not be delayed if mediation is unsuccessful.

TERMS OF STAY

- 1. This proceeding shall be stayed, except with respect to:
 - A. The following actions to notify and name any additional parties to this proceeding:
 - (1) Motion for approval of notice of adjudication and form answer made pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 836;
 - (2) Notice to and service of any and all interested parties to the comprehensive adjudication necessary to establish in rem jurisdiction and the comprehensive effect of the comprehensive adjudication pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 835 and 836;
 - (3) Requests for information made pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 836.5;
 - (4) Intervention pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 837 and 387.5;
 - B. The following actions to exchange information necessary to negotiate the terms of a physical solution:
 - (1) Initial disclosures under Code of Civil Procedure section 842.

 Parties who have appeared in this action and have less than 60 days under section 842 to provide their initial disclosures shall have 60 days to do so;
 - (2) The voluntary exchange of information by the Mediating Parties

82470.00018\31996567.3

- 4 -

1	pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding agreed to on April			
2	22, 2019;			
3	(3) Information requested pursuant to the California Public Records			
4	Act; and			
5	(4) Any other information the parties agree is necessary for settlement			
6	discussions;			
7	C. Actions necessary to conduct case management, including but not limited			
8	to case management conducted pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section			
9	840.			
10	2. Any dispute arising from the scope of the stay described in Section 1 above shall			
11	be resolved through a request for an informal conference before this Court.			
12	3. The stay shall remain in place as long as the parties determine that settlement			
13	discussions continue to be meaningful and productive.			
14	4. The stay may be lifted by the request of any party to this proceeding that is filed			
15	with at least fourteen (14) days' notice.			
16	5. The parties expressly reserve and do not waive any and all rights to bring			
17	preliminary motions, including, but not limited to, motions to stay pursuant to Water Code			
18	section 10737.2 and Code of Civil Procedure section 848, and challenging jurisdiction over			
19	surface water users after this temporary stay is lifted.			
20				
21	Dated: August 21, 2019 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP			
22				
23	By: Come Taka			
24	GENE TANAKA SHAWN HAGERTY			
25	SARAH CHRISTOPHER FOLEY DAKOTAH BENJAMIN			
26	Attorneys for Respondent and Cross- Complainant CITY OF SAN			
27	BUENAVENTURA			
28				
	82470.00018\31996567.3 - 5 -			

management conducted pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 840.

- 9. Any dispute arising from the scope of the stay described in Section 1 above shall be resolved through a request for an informal conference before this Court.
- 10. The stay shall remain in place as long as the parties determine that settlement discussions continue to be meaningful and productive.
- 11. The stay may be lifted by the request of any party to this proceeding that is filed with at least fourteen (14) days' notice.
- 12. The parties expressly reserve and do not waive any and all rights to bring preliminary motions, including, but not limited to, motions to stay pursuant to Water Code section 10737.2 and Code of Civil Procedure section 848, and challenging jurisdiction over surface water users after this temporary stay is lifted.

Dated: August ____, 2019

Dated: August 20, 2019

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By:

GENE TANAKA
SHAWN HAGERTY
SARAH CHRISTOPHER FOLEY
DAKOTAH BENJAMIN
Attorneys for Respondent and CrossComplainant CITY OF SAN
BUENAVENTURA

COOPER & LEWAND-MARTIN, INC.

DANIEL COOPER

Attorneys for Petitioner SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER

82470.00018\31996567.3

COOPER & LEWAND-MARTIN, INC. 1 Dated: August ____, 2019 2 3 By: DANIEL COOPER 4 Attorneys for Petitioner SANTA BARBÁRA CHANNELKEEPER 5 6 Dated: August 2, 2019 CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S **OFFICE** 7 8 9 MATTHEW BULLOCK Deputy Attorney General 10 MÂRC N. MELNICK Deputy Attorney General 11 Attorneys for Respondent STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 12 Dated: August ____, 2019 **ALSTON & BIRD LLP** 13 14 By: 15 ED WARD J. CASEY **CLYNTON NAMUO** 16 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants BENTLEY FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and 17 AGR BREEDING, INC. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 82470.00018\31996567.3 -6-

COOPER & LEWAND-MARTIN, INC. Dated: August____, 2019 1 2 By: 3 DANIEL COOPER 4 Attorneys for Petitioner SANTA BARBÁRA CHANNELKEEPER 5 6 CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S Dated: August ____, 2019 **OFFICE** 7 8 By: 9 MATTHEW BULLOCK Deputy Attorney General 10 MÀRC N. MELNICK LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 2001 N. MAIN STREET, SUITE 390 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 Deputy Attorney General 11 Attorneys for Respondent STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 12 Dated: August 70, 2019 ALSTON & BIRD LLP 13 14 By: 15 EDWARD J. CASEY CLYNTON NAMUO 16 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants BENTLEY FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and 17 AGR BREEDING, INC. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -6-82470.00018\31996567.3

1	Dated: August 21, 2019	BLATZ LAW FIRM	
2	1%	OnOre	
3		By: Ph dutz	
4		PAUL BLATZ RYAN BLATZ	
5		Attorneys for Cross-Defendants TROY BECKER, JANET BOULTEN, MICHAEL	
6		BOULTEN, MICHAEL CALDWELL, JOE CLARK, MICHAEL CROMER, LINDA	
7		EPSTEIN, ETCHART RANCH, LAWRENCE HARTMANN, OLE KONIG,	
8		KROTONA INSTITUTE OF THEOSOPHY, STEPHEN MITCHELL,	
9		NORTH FORK SPRINGS MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, RUDD RANCH,	
10		LLC, SHLOMO RAZ, SYLVIA RAZ, SENIOR CANYON MUTUAL WATER	
11		COMPANY, SIETE ROBLES MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, SOULE PARK GOLE COURSE LTD. TELOS LLC	
12		GOLF COURSE, LTD., TELOS, LLC, VICTOR TIMAR, JOHN TOWN AND TRUDIE TOWN	
13	Dated: August , 2019	ARNOLD LAROCHELLE MATHEWS	
14		VANCONAS & ZIRBEL, LLP	
15			
16		By: ROBERT N. KWONG	
17		DENNIS O. LA ROCHELLE	
18		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	
19	Dated: August, 2019	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP	
20			
21		By: DAVID B. COSGROVE	
22		JEFFREY M. ODERMAN DOUGLAS J. DENNINGTON	
23	11	JEREMY N. JUNGREIS Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CASITAS	
24		MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	
25			
26			
27			
28	82470.00018\31996567.3	- 7 -	
Stip. for Stay			

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 2001 N. MAIN STREET, SUITE 390 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596

1 Dated: August____, 2019 BLATZ LAW FIRM 2 By: 3 PAUL BLATZ 4 RYAN BLATZ Attorneys for Cross-Defendants TROY 5 BECKER, JANET BOULTEN, MICHAEL BOULTEN, MICHAEL CALDWELL, JOE 6 CLARK, MICHAEL CROMER, LINDA EPSTEIN, ETCHART RANCH, 7 LAWRENCE HARTMANN, OLE KONIG. KROTONA INSTITUTE OF THEOSOPHY, STEPHEN MITCHELL, NORTH FORK SPRINGS MUTUAL 8 9 WATER COMPANY, RUDD RANCH, LLC, SHLOMO RAZ, SYLVIA RAZ, 10 SENIOR CANYON MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, SIETE ROBLES MUTUAL. LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 2001 N. MAIN STREET, SUITE 390 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 11 WATER COMPANY, SOULE PARK GOLF COURSE, LTD., TELOS, LLC. 12 VICTOR TIMAR, JOHN TOWN AND TRUDIE TOWN 13 Dated: August 20, 2019 ARNOLD LAROCHELLE MATHEWS 14 VANCONAS & ZIRBEL, LLP 15 16 ROBERT N. KWONG 17 DENNIS O. LA ROCHELLE Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CASITAS 18 MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT 19 Dated: August , 2019 **RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP** 20 21 By: DAVID B. COSGROVE 22 JEFFREY M. ODERMAN DOUGLAS J. DENNINGTON 23 JEREMY N. JUNGREIS Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CASITAS 24 MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT 25 26 27 28 82470 00018/31996567 3

- 7 -

- 7 -

Stip. for Stay

82470.00018\31996567.3

Dated: August 20, 2019 1 LOWTHORP, RICHARDS, MCMILLAN, MILLER & TEMPLEMAN 2 3 PATRICK LOUGHMAN 4 CRISTIAN ARRIETA 5 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants ERNEST FORD AND TICO MUTUAL WATER 6 COMPANY 7 Dated: August ___, 2019 MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP 8 9 By: GREGORY J. PATTERSON 10 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants ROBERT C. DAVIS, JR., DAVID FINCH, BEST & KRIEGER LLP 2001 N. MAIN STREET, SUITE 390 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 11 FRIEND'S RANCHES, INC., TOPA TOPA RANCH COMPANY, LLC, THE 12 THACHER SCHOOL, THACHER CREEK CITRUS, LLC, ROBERT C. 13 DAVIS, JR., JAMES P. FINCH 14 Dated: August____, 2019 LAW OFFICES OF LINDSAY F. NIELSON 15 16 By: 17 LINDSAY F. NIELSON Attorneys for Cross-Defendants 18 MEINERS OAKS WATER DISTRICT and VENTURA RIVER WATER DISTRICT 19 Dated: August____, 2019 HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG 20 21 By: 22 JEANNE ZOLEZZI Attorneys for Cross-Defendants MEINERS 23 OAKS WATER DISTRICT and VENTURA RIVER WATER DISTRICT 24 25 26 27 28 82470.00018\31996567.3 - 8 -

Dated: August , 2019 LOWTHORP, RICHARDS, MCMILLAN, 1 MILLER &TEMPLEMAN 2 3 By: PATRICK LOUGHMAN 4 CRISTIAN ARRIETA 5 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants ERNEST FORD AND TICO MUTUAL WATER 6 **COMPANY** Dated: August 21, 2019 7 MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP 8 9 GREGORY L PATTERSON 10 Attorneys for Cross-Defendants ROBERT LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 2001 N. MAIN STREET, SUITE 390 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 C. DAVIS, JR., DAVID FINCH, 11 FRIEND'S RANCHES, INC., TÓPA TOPA RANCH COMPANY, LLC, THE THACHER SCHOOL, THACHER CREEK CITRUS, LLC, ROBERT C. 12 13 DAVIS, JR., JAMES P. FINCH 14 LAW OFFICES OF LINDSAY F. NIELSON Dated: August ____, 2019 15 16 By: 17 LINDSAY F. NIELSON Attorneys for Cross-Defendants 18 MEINERS OAKS WATER DISTRICT and VENTURA RIVER WATER DISTRICT 19 Dated: August ___, 2019 HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG 20 21 By: 22 JEANNE ZOLEZZI Attorneys for Cross-Defendants MEINERS 23 OAKS WATER DISTRICT and VENTURA RIVER WATER DISTRICT 24 25 26 27 28 -8-82470.00018\31996567.3

1	Dated: August, 2019	LOWTHORP, RICHARDS, MCMILLAN,			
2		MILLER &TEMPLEMAN			
3		Den			
4		By: PATRICK LOUGHMAN			
5		CRISTIAN ARRIETA Attorneys for Cross-Defendants ERNEST FORD AND TICO MUTUAL WATER			
6		COMPANY			
7	Dated: August, 2019	MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP			
8					
9		By: GREGORY J. PATTERSON			
10		Attorneys for Cross-Defendants ROBERT C. DAVIS, JR., DAVID FINCH.			
11		FRIEND'S RANCHES, INC., TOPA TOPA RANCH COMPANY, LLC, THE			
12 13		THACHER SCHOOL, THACHER CREEK CITRUS, LLC, ROBERT C.			
14		DAVIS, JR., JAMES P. FINCH			
15	Dated: August, 2019	LAW OFFICES OF LINDSAY F. NIELSON			
16		Guls 7 S.			
17		By: JUNES F. NIELSON			
18		Attorneys for Cross-Defendants MEINERS OAKS WATER DISTRICT and			
19	Date 1. Assessed 2010	VENTURA RIVER WATER DISTRICT			
20	Dated: August 2019	HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG			
21		Car (
22		EANNE ZOLEZZI			
23		Attorneys for Cross-Defendants MEINERS OAKS WAVER DISTRICT and VENTURA RIVER WAITER DISTRICT			
24					
25		:1			
26					
27					
28	82470.00018\31996567.3	- 8 -			
	Stip. for Stay				

1 Dated: August , 2019 FERGUSON CASE ORR PATTERSON LLP 2 3 By: **NEAL MAGUIRE** 4 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant RANCHO MATILIJA MUTUAL WATER 5 **COMPANY** Dated: August 70, 2019 6 LAGERLOF SENECAL GOSNEY & KRUSE LLP 7 8 THOMAS S. BUNN III 9 **ELSA SHAM** Attorneys for Cross-Defendant ST. 10 JOSEPH'S ASSOCIATES OF OJAI, LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 2001 N. MAIN STREET, SUITE 390 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 CALIFORNIA, INC. 11 Dated: August , 2019 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP 12 13 MICHAEL J. VAN ZANDT 14 NATHAN A. METCALF SEAN G. HERMAN 15 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant VENTURA COUNTY WATERSHED PROTECTION 16 DISTRICT 17 Dated: August , 2019 **BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER** SCHRECK LLP 18 19 By: 20 SCOTT SLATER **BRADLEY HERREMA** 21 CHRISTOPHER GUILLEN Attorneys for Cross-Defendant WOOD-22 CLAEYSSENS FOUNDATION 23 HATHAWAY, PERRETT, WEBSTER, Dated: August , 2019 POWER, CHRISMAN & GUTIERREZ 24 25 By: 26 JOSEPH CHRISMAN Attorneys for Cross-Defendant WOOD-27 CLAEYSSENS FOUNDATION 28 -9-82470.00018\31996567.3

FERGUSON CASE ORR PATTERSON LLP Dated: August , 2019 1 2 By: 3 **NEAL MAGUIRE** 4 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant RANCHO MATILIJA MUTUAL WATER 5 **COMPANY** 6 LAGERLOF SENECAL GOSNEY & KRUSE Dated: August _____, 2019 LLP 7 8 By: THOMAS S. BUNN III 9 ELSA SHAM Attorneys for Cross-Defendant ST. 10 JOSEPH'S ASSOCIATES OF OJAI, CALIFORNIA, INC. LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 2001 N. MAIN STREET, SUITE 390 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 11 Dated: August , 2019 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP 12 13 By: MICHAEL J. VAN ZANDT 14 NATHAN A. METCALF SEAN G. HERMAN 15 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant VENTURA COUNTY WATERSHED PROTECTION 16 DISTRICT 17 Dated: August 20, 2019 **BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER** SCHRECK-LLP 18 19 SCOTT SLATER **BRADLEY HERREMA** 20 CHRISTOPHER GUILLEN Attorneys for Cross-Defendant WOOD-21 **CLAEYSSENS FOUNDATION** 22 HATHAWAY, PERRETT, WEBSTER, Dated: August ____, 2019 POWER, CHRISMAN & GUTIERREZ 23 24 By: 25 JOSEPH CHRISMAN Attorneys for Cross-Defendant WOOD-26 CLAEYSSENS FOUNDATION 27 28 -9-82470,00018\31996567.3

Dated: August 20, 2019 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION JEREMY TALCOTT **DAVID DEERSON** Attorney for Cross-Defendant Robin Bernhoft - 10 -82470.00018\31996567.3 Stip. for Stay